

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/840,162	05/05/2004	Ronfu Chu	B-4618DIV 621849-9	7388		
7590 02/28/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Richard P. Berg			THOMAS, DAVID B			
Suite 2100	AKKI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
5670 Wilshire Boulevard			3723			
Los Angeles, C	CA 90036-5679	DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No I	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
		10/840,162		CHU ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		David B. Th		3723	Iross			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no even y within the statute will apply and will , cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely, the mailing date of this corol (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
2a) <u>□</u> 3) <u>□</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 May 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
10)🖾 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 May 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	☑ accepted drawing(s) be ion is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFI				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te	.152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/840,162 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The preamble recites, "A method for detecting diamonds dislodging from *the* CMP machine dresser", however "the CMP machine dresser" lacks antecedent. The examiner suggests changing "the" to "a". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the chemical mechanical polishing machine" in the 4th line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Claims 7, 8, and 10-12 are drawn to a method; however, claim 9 is drawn to an apparatus that depends from a method claim. It is unclear from the claim language, what the applicant intends as the subject matter with respect to the invention.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Application/Control Number: 10/840,162

Art Unit: 3723

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 7-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,852,004 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because narrower patented claims include the broader method steps of the pending claims, thus rendering the broader limitations, as a whole, as being obvious in view of the patented claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4497. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,162

Art Unit: 3723

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David B. Thomas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

dbt